

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**FILED**

2008 JUN -6 AM 8: 29

TO: ☐ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☒ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGECLERK US DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIAFROM: R. Mullin, Deputy ClerkRECEIVED DATE: 5/27/2008CASE NO.: 07cv2183 DMS (RBB) DOCUMENT FILED BY:BY RM DEPUTY
PetitionerCASE TITLE: Cunningham v. MarshallDOCUMENT ENTITLED: Evidence Memoranda Grounds Exhibit IV & V

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X	Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X	<u>OTHER: No proof of service.</u>

Date forwarded: 5/28/2008**ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE****IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: RBBDated: 6/4/2008
cc: All PartiesBy: Neil Rant

COPY 505

REJECTED

EVIDENCE

MEMORANDA

GROUNDS

EXHIBIT

IV.

MERITORIOUS-CLAIMS

LOGDE NO. 07cv2183-DMS (BBB)CASE NO. SCE-243538

REJECTED

EXHIBIT

FILE

IV.

LOGUMENT NO: 07-CV-2183-DMS(RBB)

Supporting Documents